

Case No. 2:16-cv-01555-GMN-NJK  
ORDER

The Court has approved the blanket protective order to facilitate discovery exchanges. But there has been no showing, and the Court has not found, that any specific documents are secret or confidential. The parties have not provided specific facts supported by declarations or concrete examples to establish that a protective order is required to protect any specific trade secret or other

1 confidential information pursuant to Rule 26(c) or that disclosure would cause an identifiable and  
2 significant harm. The Ninth Circuit has held that there is a presumption of public access to judicial  
3 files and records, and that parties seeking to maintain the confidentiality of documents attached to  
4 nondispositive motions must show good cause exists to overcome the presumption of public access.  
5 *See Kamakana* 447 F.3d at 1179. Parties seeking to maintain the secrecy of documents attached to  
6 dispositive motions must show compelling reasons sufficient to overcome the presumption of public  
7 access. *Id.* at 1180. **All motions to seal must address the applicable standard and explain why**  
8 **that standard has been met.** The fact that a court has entered a blanket stipulated protective order  
9 and that a party has designated a document as confidential pursuant to that protective order does not,  
10 standing alone, establish sufficient grounds to seal a filed document. *See Foltz v. State Farm Mut.*  
11 *Auto. Ins. Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1133 (9th Cir. 2003); *see also Beckman Indus., Inc. v. Int'l Ins. Co.*,  
12 966 F.2d 470, 476 (9th Cir. 1992).

13 If the sole ground for a motion to seal is that the opposing party (or non-party) has designated  
14 a document as subject to protection pursuant to the stipulated protective order, the movant must  
15 notify the opposing party (or non-party) at least seven days prior to filing the designated document.  
16 The designating party must then make a good faith determination if the relevant standard for sealing  
17 is met. To the extent the designating party does not believe the relevant standard for sealing can be  
18 met, it shall indicate that the document may be filed publicly no later than four days after receiving  
19 notice of the intended filing. To the extent the designating party believes the relevant standard for  
20 sealing can be met, it shall provide a declaration supporting that assertion no later than four days  
21 after receiving notice of the intended filing. The filing party shall then attach that declaration to its  
22 motion to seal the designated material. If the designating party fails to provide such a declaration  
23 in support of the motion to seal, the filing party shall file a motion to seal so indicating and the Court  
24 may order the document filed in the public record.<sup>1</sup>

---

25  
26 <sup>1</sup> In the event of an emergency motion, the above procedures shall not apply. Instead, the  
27 movant shall file a motion to seal and the designating party shall file a declaration in support of that  
28 motion to seal within three days of its filing. If the designating party fails to timely file such a

1       **IT IS ORDERED** that counsel shall comply with the requirements of Local Rule IA 10-5,  
2 the Ninth Circuit's decision in *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d 1172, and the procedures outlined above, with  
3 respect to any documents filed under seal. To the extent any aspect of the stipulated protective order  
4 may conflict with this order or Local Rule IA 10-5, that aspect of the stipulated protective order is  
5 hereby superseded with this order.

6       IT IS SO ORDERED.

7       DATED: November 17, 2016

8  
9  
10         
11       \_\_\_\_\_  
12       NANCY J. KOPPE  
13       United States Magistrate Judge  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

26       \_\_\_\_\_  
27       declaration, the Court may order the document filed in the public record.